

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Fatent and Trademark Office Address OMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Sax 1450 Alexandria. Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/771,689	01/30/2001	Haruhisa Sakuma	122.1433	. 8149
21171 STAAS & IJA	21171 7590 01/29/2007 STAAS & HALSEY LLP		EXAMINER	
SUITE 700			DADA, BEEMNET W	
1201 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, DC 20005		•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2135	
	·			
SHORTENED STATUTOR	RY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		01/29/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Occurrence	09/771,689	SAKUMA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Beemnet W. Dada	2135				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim iill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status	•					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02 No</u>	ovember 2006.					
,	action is non-final.					
,	<u> </u>					
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims	,					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-33</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-33</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement	•				
o) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	election requirement.					
Application Papers		·				
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	on is required if the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	,					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior						
application from the International Bureau	•	ya in tino rtational etago				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list		ed.				
oss the attached detailed office determined a list	or and doraniod dopied not redelyt	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ratent Application				
. apor moto/man pate	J)					

Application/Control Number: 09/771,689 Page 2

Art Unit: 2135

DETAILED ACTION

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on November 02, 2006 has been entered. Claims 1, 14, 23 and 33 have been amended. Claims 1-33 are pending.

Response to Arguments

- 2. Applicant's arguments, filed November 02, 2006, with respect to 35 USC 112 1st rejections of claims 1-33 have been fully considered and are persuasive. The 35 USC 112 1st rejections of claims 1-33 has been withdrawn.
- 3. Applicant's arguments with respect to 35 USC 103 rejections of claims 1-33 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant added new claim limitations and further argued that the art on record fails to teach a memory within the apparatus to store the acquired image information of the user using the apparatus and display of the apparatus on which to display the image information.
- 4. Examiner would point out that the claim language, "so that the displayed image is viewable in order to identify an illegal user" is an intended use recitation. A recitation of the intended use of the claimed invention must result in a structural difference between the claimed invention and the prior art in order to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art. If the prior art structure is capable of performing the intended use, then it meets the claim.
- 5. Examiner would further point out that In response to applicant's arguments against the references individually, one cannot show nonobviousness by attacking references individually

Application/Control Number: 09/771,689

Art Unit: 2135

where the rejections are based on combinations of references. See *In re Keller*, 642 F.2d 413, 208 USPQ 871 (CCPA 1981); *In re Merck & Co.*, 800 F.2d 1091, 231 USPQ 375 (Fed. Cir. 1986). In this case Clark teaches an electronic apparatus comprising an identification system wherein user authentication is performed at a computer station based on stored credentials and if the authentication fails (i.e., condition of stored parameter for illegal use are established) a photographing device is activated and a photograph of the unauthorized user is taken and recorded (i.e., stored in a memory), and later the user will be identified based on at least the recorded photograph (i.e., image information about unauthorized user would be displayed) [column 2, lines 5-11, 47-61 and column 3, lines 18-31, 39-46]. Urano teaches an apparatus including a first memory, provided in said apparatus having stored therein a parameter for representing at least conditions of an illegal use of said apparatus (storing in a managing computer illegal access judgment standard) [column 3, lines 60-65, column 4, lines 1-12, lines 53-62 and column 6, lines 1-15], and a control unit which stores in a second memory illegal access information of the user and user system when said conditions represented by said parameter are established [column 4, lines 55-67 and column 6, lines 30-67].

Page 3

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 1-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Urano et al US 6,202,158 (hereinafter Urano) in view of Clark US Patent 4,636,622.

Application/Control Number: 09/771,689

Art Unit: 2135

8. As per claims 1, 14, 23 and 31-33, Urano teaches an electronic apparatus having a display viewable by a user of the apparatus comprising;

a first memory, provided in said apparatus having stored therein a parameter for representing at least conditions of an illegal use of said apparatus (storing in a managing computer illegal access judgment standard) [column 3, lines 60-65, column 4, lines 1-12, lines 53-62 and column 6, lines 1-15], and a control unit which stores in a second memory illegal access information of the user and user system when said conditions represented by said parameter are established [column 4, lines 55-67 and column 6, lines 30-67].

Urano is silent on **storing image information** acquired by a photographing device which photographs a user of the apparatus. However, within the same field of endeavor Clark teaches an identification system wherein user authentication is performed at a computer station based on stored credentials and if the authentication fails (i.e., condition of stored parameter for illegal use are established) a photographing device is activated and **a photograph of the unauthorized user is taken and recorded** (i.e., stored in a memory), and later the user will be **identified based on at least the recorded photograph** (i.e., image information about unauthorized user would be displayed) [column 2, lines 5-11, 47-61 and column 3, lines 18-31, 39-46]. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to employ the teachings of Clark within the system of Urano in order to enhance security of the system.

9. As per claim 2 and 15, Urano further teaches controlling output of illegal access information stored in the memory in accordance with an output direction [column 9, lines 57-67 and column 10, lines 1-5].

Art Unit: 2135

- 10. As per claims 3, 16 and 24, Urano further teaches said control unit directs a set up program to read out said parameter in said first memory and to record illegal access information in said second memory [column 4, lines 55-67 and column 6, lines 30-67].
- 11. As per claims 4, 17 and 25, Urano further teaches said control unit directs an application program running on said apparatus to set and alter said parameter in said first memory via an interface prepared on a program [column 5, lines 24-39].
- 12. As per claims 5, 18 and 26, Urano further teaches said control unit directs the program to output illegal access information [column 9, lines 57-67 and column 10, lines 1-5].
- 13. As per claim 6, Urano further teaches the apparatus wherein as said parameter recording timing representing conditions for obtaining image information is selected, set and stored in said first memory [column 7, lines 3-25 and lines 50-63].
- 14. As per claim 7, 19 and 27, Urano further teaches the apparatus wherein illegal access information data management header, a recording time, a recording timing, a recording format and illegal access information data are recorded in said second memory [column 7, lines 3-25 and lines 50-63].
- 15. As per claims 8-10, 20 and 28, Urano further teaches the apparatus wherein said recording timing of said illegal access information takes place when the power supply for said apparatus is turned on, program is activated, or operation is resumed (i.e., during log-in operations) [column 2, lines 27-32].

Art Unit: 2135

16. As per claim 11, Urano further teaches the apparatus wherein said recording timing of illegal access information takes place when an illegal password is entered in said apparatus [column 6, lines 1-15].

- 17. As per claims 12, 21 and 29, Urano further teaches the apparatus wherein information acquiring format and writing mode are selected, set and stored in said first memory as said parameter [column 7, lines 28-43].
- 18. As per claims 13, 22 and 30 Urano further teaches the apparatus wherein the mode of writing illegal access information into second memory is overwriting or an appending mode [column 5, lines 50-61].

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Beemnet W. Dada whose telephone number is (571) 272-3847. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday (9:00 am - 5:30 pm).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kim Y. Vu can be reached on (571) 272-3859. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 09/771,689

Art Unit: 2135

Page 7

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Beemnet W Dada

January 20, 2007

SPE of AU 2135